

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/649,870	HILL, BOBBY D.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Travis R. Hunnings	2632	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Travis R. Hunnings. (3) Tara Williams.
 (2) Benjamin Lee. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 October 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 29-33,36-46 and 49-52.

Identification of prior art discussed: Cavallero, McKenzie, Miller and Landais.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: discussed advisory action and agreed with Tara Williams arguments made in the amendment that the 102 rejections based on Cavallero were not valid and finality would be withdrawn. Noted that any further rejections would be made final because they would be based on amended claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/649,870	HILL, BOBBY D.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Travis R. Hunnings	2632	

All Participants:

(1) Travis R. Hunnings.

(2) Tara Williams.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 November 2005

Time: 3:00 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

29-33, 36-46 and 49-52

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner suggested changes to the claims in order to place the application in condition for allowance. New claims would be sent to the examiner to be used in an examiner's amendment in order to expedite prosecution.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)